arXiv:1601.04412v1 [math.CA] 18 Jan 2016

On Second Solutions to Second-Order Difference Equations

William C. Parke[†] and **Leonard C. Maximon**[†‡]

†The George Washington University

Department of Physics

Washington DC 20052 USA

wparke@gwu.edu and max@gwu.edu

‡Arizona State University

Department of Physics

Tempe AZ 85287 USA

Abstract

We investigate and derive second solutions to linear homogeneous second-order difference equations using a variety of methods, in each case going beyond the purely formal solution and giving explicit expressions for the second solution. We present a new implementation of d'Alembert's reduction of order method, applying it to linear second-order recursion equations. Further, we introduce an iterative method to obtain a general solution, giving two linearly independent polynomial solutions to the recurrence relation. In the case of a particular confluent hypergeometric function for which the standard second solution is not independent of the first, i.e. the solutions are degenerate, we use the corresponding differential equation and apply the extended Cauchy-integral method to find a polynomial second solution for the difference equation. We show that the standard d'Alembert method also generates this polynomial solution.

AMS Subject Classifications: 33-02, 33C15, 39-02, 39A06.

Keywords: Confluent hypergeometric, difference equations, differential equations, recurrence relations, second solutions, polynomial solutions, extended Cauchy-integral method.

1 Introduction

There are a number of distinct methods for generating a second independent solution to a second-order linear differential equation when one solution is known. These include 1) The extended Cauchy-integral method, 2) The application of D'Alembert's reduction of order method, and 3) Recursion using selected starting values.

In this paper we present analogous methods for finding explicit forms for a second independent solution (also called "solution of the second kind") to linear second-order difference equations when a first solution is known.[1] Our aim in each case is to go beyond the purely formal solution and derive explicit expressions for the second solution.

For the confluent hypergeometric function, with the first solution given by ${}_1F_1(a;b;x)$, the standard second solution is not independent of the first when the first parameter takes on negative integer values. In this case we give the explicit polynomial second solution when the second parameter is a positive integer. These polynomials also arise in second solutions to the confluent hypergeometric differential equation.

2 Reduction of order method for the second solution of recurrence relations

D'Alembert's reduction of order technique is widely used to find second solutions to second-order differential equations. In this section we apply an analogous technique to the general second-order linear homogeneous recurrence relation expressed by

$$a_n y_{n+2} + b_n y_{n+1} + c_n y_n = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

where $a_n c_n \neq 0$.

Suppose we know one solution of the above recurrence relation to be

$$y_n^{(1)} = f_n \ . \tag{2.2}$$

Then an ansatz for a second solution, possibly independent of the first, is

$$y_n^{(2)} = w_n f_n \ . \tag{2.3}$$

The difference equation for w_n can be solved explicitly.

Assuming $y_n^{(2)}$ to be a solution of the recurrence relation, and using $\Delta w_n \equiv w_{n+1} - w_n$, the w_n will satisfy

$$a_n \left(w_n + 2\Delta w_n + \Delta^2 w_n\right) f_{n+2} + b_n \left(w_n + \Delta w_n\right) f_{n+1} + c_n w_n f_n = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

[1] For a general discussion of cases when difference equations with polynomial coefficients can be solved in terms of polynomials, rational functions, and hypergeometric functions, see the thesis of Christian Weixlbaumer [14].

which becomes, since f_n is a solution,

$$a_n \left(2\Delta w_n + \Delta^2 w_n\right) f_{n+2} + b_n \left(\Delta w_n\right) f_{n+1} = 0 \; . \tag{2.5}$$

Let

$$u_n = \Delta w_n \; . \tag{2.6}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_n f_{n+2} \left(2u_n + \Delta u_n\right) + b_n \left(u_n\right) f_{n+1} &= 0 \\ a_n f_{n+2} \left(u_n + u_{n+1}\right) + b_n f_{n+1} u_n &= 0 \; . \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The u_n satisfy a first-order difference equation (assuming none of the f_n vanish):

$$u_{n+1} = -\left(1 + \frac{b_n f_{n+1}}{a_n f_{n+2}}\right) u_n \tag{2.8}$$

$$= \left(\frac{c_n f_n}{a_n f_{n+2}}\right) u_n \; . \tag{2.9}$$

Iterating,

$$u_n = \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{c_l f_l}{a_l f_{l+2}}\right) u_0 \tag{2.10}$$

or

$$\Delta w_k = \frac{f_0 f_1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_l}{a_l}\right) u_0 \; . \tag{2.11}$$

Summing the Δw_k gives

$$w_n = w_0 + u_0 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f_0 f_1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_l}{a_l}\right) \; . \tag{2.12}$$

(Following convention, we take products that have an upper limit smaller than the lower limit to be unity and sums that have an upper limit smaller than the lower limit as vanishing.) We can drop w_0 and the term $k = 0$ in the sum, as they will reproduce the first solution, and also select the overall factor to be independent of the index n, since the general solution is constructed by a sum of an arbitrary constant times each of two independent solutions. We write our second solution as

$$y_n^{(2)} = f_1 f_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_l}{a_l}\right) \; . \tag{2.13}$$

In the next section we evaluate the second solution as given in (2.13) for a few simple examples. We then consider the reduction of the sum in (2.13), and derive a recurrence relation for the second solution.

2.1 Examples of second solutions

In this section, we evaluate the solution Eq. (2.13) for some simple examples.

1. First, the case with constant coefficients,

$$a y_{n+2} + b y_{n+1} + c y_n = 0 \tag{2.14}$$

and a double root to the characteristic equation $ar^2 + br + c = 0$, i.e., $r = -b/2a$ with $b^2 = 4ac$. One solution to the given recurrence relation is

$$y_n^{(1)} = r^n \,. \tag{2.15}$$

Our second solution is then

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2)} &= f_1 f_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_l}{a_l}\right) \\ &= r^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r^{2k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(r^2\right) \\ &= r^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r^{2k+1}} r^{2k} = r^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1 = (n-1)\, r^n \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The expression contains a linear combination of the first and a second solution, which we can take as nr^n.

Now, suppose we do not have a double root, but rather

$$r_\pm = -b/2a \pm \sqrt{(b/2a)^2 - c/a} \,. \tag{2.17}$$

Starting with

$$y_n^{(1)} = r_+^n \,, \tag{2.18}$$

we have a second solution expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2)} &= r_+^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_+^{2k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c}{a}\right) \\ &= r_+^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_+^{2k}} \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^k \\ &= r_+^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{c}{a}\frac{1}{r_+^2}\right)^k \\ &= r_+^n \frac{1}{\frac{c}{a}\frac{1}{r_+^2} - 1} \left(\left(\frac{c}{a}\frac{1}{r_+^2}\right)^n - 1\right) - r_+^n \\ &= \frac{a r_+^2}{c - a r_+^2} \left(\left(\frac{c}{a}\frac{1}{r_+}\right)^n - r_+^n\right) - r_+^n \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

The above is simplified with

$$\begin{aligned} c - ar_+^2 &= br_+ + 2c \\ &= -b^2/2a + b\sqrt{(b/2a)^2 - c/a} + 2c\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$r_+ + r_- = -b/a\,, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_+ r_- &= \left(-b/2a + \sqrt{(b/2a)^2 - c/a}\right)\left(-b/2a - \sqrt{(b/2a)^2 - c/a}\right)\,, \\ &= c/a\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

$$ar_+/c = \frac{1}{r_-}\,. \tag{2.23}$$

So, the 'new part' of our solution becomes

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2')} &= \left(\frac{c}{a}\frac{1}{r_+}\right)^n \\ &= r_-^n\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

We recover the second solution from the first solution.

2. As an example with a factorial solution, consider

$$y_{n+2} - (n+1)\,y_{n+1} - (n+1)\,y_n = 0\,. \tag{2.25}$$

One solution is

$$y_n^{(1)} = n!\,. \tag{2.26}$$

Our second solution will be

$$y_n^{(2)} = f_1 f_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_l}{a_l}\right)\,, \tag{2.27}$$

$$= n! \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!\,(k+1)!} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)\,(l+1)\,, \tag{2.28}$$

$$= n! \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} = n! \sum_{l=2}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l!}\,. \tag{2.29}$$

A second solution independent of the first can be taken as

$$y_n^{(2')} = n! \sum_{l=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!}\,. \tag{2.30}$$

3. An example giving a harmonic-number solution comes from solving

$$(n+2)\,y_{n+2} - (2n+3)\,y_{n+1} + (n+1)\,y_n = 0 \tag{2.31}$$

which is the same as the difference relation

$$(n+2)\,\Delta^2 y_n + \Delta y_n = 0 \tag{2.32}$$

(where $\Delta y_n \equiv y_{n+1} - y_n$). Evidently, one solution is just a constant.

Starting with the constant solution: $f_k = 1$, we write our second solution

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2)} &= f_1 f_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_l}{a_l}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{l+1}{l+2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+1} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{l} - 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

so a new (second) solution is

$$y_n^{(2')} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{l} \tag{2.34}$$

which is the harmonic number H_n as promised.

4. Another less trivial example comes from

$$(n+1)\,y_{n+2} - \left(n^2+7n+8\right) y_{n+1} + 2\,(n+2)\,(n+3)\,y_n = 0 \tag{2.35}$$

which has a solution

$$y_n^{(1)} = 2^n\ . \tag{2.36}$$

We construct the second solution using

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2)} &= f_1 f_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_l}{a_l}\right) \\ &= 2^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{2k+1}} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2\,(l+2)\,(l+3)}{(l+1)} \\ &= 2^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2^{k-1}}{2^{2k+1}}\,(k+1)\,(k+2)! \\ &= 2^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k}\,((k+3)-2)\,(k+2)! \\ &= 2^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k}\,(k+3)! - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\,(k+2)! \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

In the second sum, let $k = l + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2)} &= 2^{n-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{2^k}\,(k+3)! - \sum_{l=0}^{n-2}\frac{1}{2^l}\,(l+3)!\right) \\ &= (n+2)! - 3\cdot 2^n\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

We see that a second independent solution is

$$y_n^{(2')} = (n+2)!\,. \tag{2.39}$$

2.2 Reduction of the second solution sum

We have found that the second solution to the linear homogeneous second-order recurrence relation, Eq. (2.1), can be usefully expressed as

$$y_n^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{f_1 f_n}{f_k f_{k+1}}\prod_{l=0}^{k-1}\frac{c_l}{a_l}\,. \tag{2.40}$$

This sum may look intimidating, especially when the first solution is not a simple function of the index or auxiliary parameters within the coefficients. However, in these cases, by a sequential 'peeling back' on the summation terms, starting with $k = n-2$ and $k = n-1$, the sum can be made simpler in form. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2)} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-3}\frac{f_1 f_n}{f_k f_{k+1}}\prod_{l=0}^{k-1}\frac{c_l}{a_l} + f_1 f_n\left(\frac{1}{f_{n-2}f_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{f_{n-1}f_n}\frac{c_{n-2}}{a_{n-2}}\right)\prod_{l=0}^{n-3}\frac{c_l}{a_l} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-3}\frac{f_1 f_n}{f_k f_{k+1}}\prod_{l=0}^{k-1}\frac{c_l}{a_l} + \frac{f_1 f_n}{f_{n-2}f_{n-1}f_n}\frac{1}{a_{n-2}}\left(a_{n-2}f_n + c_{n-2}f_{n-2}\right)\prod_{l=0}^{n-3}\frac{c_l}{a_l}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Now apply

$$a_{n-2}f_n + c_{n-2}f_{n-2} = -b_{n-2}f_{n-1} \tag{2.42}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^{(2)} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-3}\frac{f_1 f_n}{f_k f_{k+1}}\prod_{l=0}^{k-1}\frac{c_l}{a_l} - \frac{f_1}{f_{n-2}}\frac{b_{n-2}}{a_{n-2}}\prod_{l=0}^{n-3}\frac{c_l}{a_l} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-4}\frac{f_1 f_n}{f_k f_{k+1}}\prod_{l=0}^{k-1}\frac{c_l}{a_l} + \left(\frac{f_1 f_n}{f_{n-3}f_{n-2}} - \frac{f_1}{f_{n-2}}\frac{b_{n-2}}{a_{n-2}}\frac{c_{n-3}}{a_{n-3}}\right)\prod_{l=0}^{n-4}\frac{c_l}{a_l} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-4}\frac{f_1 f_n}{f_k f_{k+1}}\prod_{l=0}^{k-1}\frac{c_l}{a_l} + \frac{f_1}{f_{n-3}}\frac{1}{a_{n-3}a_{n-2}}\left(-a_{n-3}c_{n-2} + b_{n-3}b_{n-2}\right)\prod_{l=0}^{n-4}\frac{c_l}{a_l} \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

and so forth, until the first sum drops to zero terms. The f_1 factor in the second term will then cancel with an f_1 in the denominator, leaving no more denominator factors of f_k.

The general form of the result will be

$$y_n^{(2)} = \left(\prod_{l=0}^{n-2} \frac{1}{a_l} \right) Y_n \tag{2.44}$$

where Y_n, satisfying

$$Y_{n+2} + b_n Y_{n+1} + a_{n-1} c_n Y_n = 0 \,, \tag{2.45}$$

can be expressed in terms the initial values Y_0 and Y_1 times polynomials in the set of coefficients $\{a_0, a_1, \cdots ; b_0, b_1, \cdots ; c_0, c_1, \cdots\}$. These polynomials will be described in section 3.2.

3 Iterative derivation of the second solutions

The second solution given by Eq. (2.44) can also be deduced by direct iteration. Start with the linear second-order difference equation (2.1). Make the substitution

$$y_n = \left(\prod_{l=0}^{n-2} \frac{1}{a_l} \right) Y_n \,. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\beta_n = -b_n$ and $\gamma_n = -a_{n-1} c_n$, and define $a_{-1} = 1$. Then

$$Y_{n+2} = \beta_n Y_{n+1} + \gamma_n Y_n \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Realizing that the iterated solution will depend on the pair of initial values, say Y_0 and Y_1, we write the second-order difference equation as a 2x2 matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_{n+2} \\ Y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_n & \gamma_n \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{n+1} \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{3.3}$$

Iteration gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_{n+2} \\ Y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{l=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_l & \gamma_l \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Constant coefficient example

As a special case, when the recurrence coefficients β and γ do not depend on their index, we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_n &= Y_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1-k \\ k \end{array} \right) \beta^{n-2k-1} \gamma^k \\
&\quad + Y_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - 1} \left(\begin{array}{c} n-2-k \\ k \end{array} \right) \beta^{n-2k-2} \gamma^{k+1} \qquad (3.5) \\
Y_2 &= Y_1 \beta + Y_0 \gamma \\
Y_3 &= Y_1 \left(\beta^2 + \gamma \right) + Y_0 \beta \gamma \\
Y_4 &= Y_1 \left(\beta^2 + 2\gamma \right) \beta + Y_0 \left(\beta^2 + \gamma \right) \gamma \\
Y_5 &= Y_1 \left(\beta^4 + 3\beta^2 \gamma + \gamma^2 \right) + Y_0 \left(\beta^3 \gamma + 2\beta \gamma^2 \right) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

These solutions must correspond to the simpler looking ones commonly found from the characteristic equation, namely

$$Y_n = c_1 r_1^n + c_2 r_2^n \qquad (3.6)$$

where

$$r_1 = \frac{\beta}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \gamma} \qquad (3.7)$$

$$r_2 = \frac{\beta}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \gamma} \ . \qquad (3.8)$$

Expanding the n^{th} power of these roots into a binomial series gives

$$\begin{aligned}
r_2^n &= \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \gamma} \right)^n \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right) \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)^{n-k} \left(\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \gamma \right)^{k/2} \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for r_1^n but without the $(-1)^k$ factor. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_2 &= c_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 + \beta \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \gamma} + \gamma \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\beta^2 - \beta \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \gamma} + \gamma \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (c_1 + c_2) \left(\beta^2 + 2\gamma \right) + \frac{1}{2} (c_1 - c_2) \beta \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\gamma} \\
&= \beta Y_1 + \gamma Y_0 \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_3 &= c_1 r_1^3 + c_2 r_2^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\beta\,(c_1+c_2)\left(\beta^2+3\gamma\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\beta^2+4\gamma}\,(c_1-c_2)\left(\beta^2+\gamma\right) \\ &= Y_1\left(\beta^2+\gamma\right) + Y_0\beta\gamma \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

etc.

Eq. (3.6) can be expressed as a matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_n \\ Y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1^n & r_2^n \\ r_1^{n-1} & r_2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.12}$$

while our solution is expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_n \\ Y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.13}$$

Our solution Eq. (3.13) can be transformed to the expression Eq. (3.12) by finding the eigenvalues of the matrix that appears in Eq. (3.13). Suppose the matrix S has the property that

$$S \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.14}$$

It is easy to show that one such matrix is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} r_1/\gamma & 1 \\ r_2/\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.15}$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = r_1$ and $\lambda_2 = r_2$, so that

$$S \begin{pmatrix} Y_n \\ Y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \left(S \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S^{-1} \right)^{n-1} S \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1/\gamma & 1 \\ \lambda_2/\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_n \\ Y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1^{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & r_2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_1/\gamma & 1 \\ r_2/\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.17}$$

resulting in

$$Y_n = \frac{(r_1^n - r_2^n)}{(r_1 - r_2)} Y_1 + \gamma \frac{\left(r_1^{n-1} - r_2^{n-1}\right)}{(r_1 - r_2)} Y_0 . \tag{3.18}$$

This solution from the recurrence relations is, as expected, polynomial in the parameters β and γ (no square-roots!). We can see this by observing that

$$\frac{r_1^n - r_2^n}{r_1 - r_2} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_l \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 2l+1 \end{pmatrix} \beta^{n-2l-1} \left(\beta^2+4\gamma\right)^l . \tag{3.19}$$

Note also that as r_1 approaches r_2, the ratio above becomes a derivative, giving solutions proportional to nr^{n-1}.

3.1 Independence of second solution from the first

The functions f_1 and f_2 are linearly dependent if there exists a relation

$$c_1 f_1(n) + c_2 f_2(n) = 0 \tag{3.20}$$

with n in a defined range, and the constants c_1 and c_2 are not zero.

The Casorati determinant for the pair $f_1(n)$, $f_2(n)$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{C}(n+1) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1(n) & f_2(n) \\ f_1(n+1) & f_2(n+1) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.21}$$

The f_1 and f_2 will be linearly dependent iff $C(n) = 0$ over the range of n.

For our solutions,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_2 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 & \gamma_0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.22}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(1) \\ f_2(1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \beta_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.23}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(2) \\ f_2(2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1\gamma_0 \\ \beta_1\beta_0 + \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(2) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1(1) & f_2(1) \\ f_1(2) & f_2(2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 & \beta_0 \\ \beta_1\gamma_0 & \beta_1\beta_0 + \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \gamma_0\gamma_1 . \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1(2) & f_2(2) \\ f_1(3) & f_2(3) \end{pmatrix} &= \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_1 & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_0 & \beta_0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= -\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2 . \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

More generally, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(n+1) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1(n) & f_2(n) \\ f_1(n+1) & f_2(n+1) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{l=0}^{n} \gamma_l . \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

The solutions up to y_{n+1} will be independent as long as $\gamma_k \neq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

3.2 Rules for constructing the general solutions

In view of $\beta_n = -b_n$ and $\gamma_n = -a_{n-1}c_n$, and in order to simplify keeping track of indices, we define

$$\gamma_{n-1,n} \equiv \gamma_n \ .$$

Because we let $a_{-1} = 1$, we have $\gamma_{-1,0} = -c_0$.

Eq. (3.4) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_{n+2} \\ Y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{k=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_k & \gamma_{k-1,k} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{3.28}$$

By examining the solution (3.28) expanded into polynomials in β_n and $\gamma_{n-1,n}$, the following rules for constructing Y_{n+2} in terms of the initial values Y_1 and Y_0 apply:

1. In the iterated solution of $Y_{n+1} = \beta_n Y_n + \gamma_{n-1,n} Y_{n-1}$, expressed as

   $$Y_{n+1} = P_n Y_1 + \gamma_{-1,0} Q_{n-1} Y_0 \ , \tag{3.29}$$

   the factors P_n and Q_n will be polynomials in the coefficients β_l and $\gamma_{l-1,l}$, homogeneous of degree n in the sense that under the scaling $\beta_l \to \lambda\beta_l$ and $\gamma_{l-1,l} \to \lambda^2\gamma_{l-1,l}$, we will have $P_n \to \lambda^n P_n$ and $Q_n \to \lambda^n Q_n$.

2. There will be a Fibonacci number F_{n+1} of terms in the polynomial P_n. (This can be seen by substituting ones for β_n and $\gamma_{n-1,n}$ in Eq. (3.28). Here, $F_n = (((1+\sqrt{5})/2)^n - (1-\sqrt{5})/2)^n)/\sqrt{5} \to \{1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \cdots\}$.)

3. The polynomial P_n is constructed as follows:

   (a) For n even, form an even number of initially unindexed factors of β, starting with n such factors (with no γ factor), and then work down to zero β factors. For each term with $2k$ factors of β, put in $n/2 - k$ factors of γ, at first unindexed. Enumerate the terms having a given number of β factors to produce all possible positions of the $\beta's$ among the γ factors. The last term with no β factors will have $n/2$ factors of γ. Now put indices on the β and γ factors, sequentially, from 0 to $n-1$.

   (b) For n odd, form an odd number of initially unindexed factors of β in each term, starting with n factors (with no γ factor), and working down to one β factor. For each term with $2k+1$ factors of β, put $(n-1)/2-k$ factors of γ, at first unindexed. Enumerate the terms having a given number of β factors to produce all possible positions of the $\beta's$ among the γ factors. The last set of terms will have just one β factor. Now put indices on the β and γ factors, sequentially, from 0 to $n-1$.

4. The polynomial Q_n is constructed just like P_n, except that the indices run from 1 to n instead of from 0 to $n-1$.

To exercise these rules, let's write out an example for $n = 3$ to get Y_5 from Eq. (3.4). The functions P_4 and Q_3 will be polynomials in the β and γ of degree no higher than 4 and 3, respectively. There will be $F_5 = 5$ terms in P_4, and $F_4 = 3$ terms in Q_3. Now, from rule 3a, we start constructing P_4 by writing the set

$$\{\beta\beta\beta\beta, \beta\beta\gamma, \beta\gamma\beta, \gamma\beta\beta, \gamma\gamma\} \, . \tag{3.30}$$

Now decorate sequentially with indices and add:

$$\beta_0\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3 + \beta_0\beta_1\gamma_{2,3} + \beta_0\gamma_{1,2}\beta_3 + \gamma_{0,1}\beta_2\beta_3 + \gamma_{0,1}\gamma_{2,3} \, . \tag{3.31}$$

This is P_4. For Q_3, we apply rule 3b to generate the set

$$\{\beta\beta\beta, \beta\gamma, \gamma\beta\} \, . \tag{3.32}$$

With indices according to rule 4, the set produces

$$\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3 + \beta_1\gamma_{2,3} + \gamma_{1,2}\beta_3 \, . \tag{3.33}$$

This is Q_3. So

$$\begin{aligned} Y_5 &= (\beta_0\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3 + \beta_0\beta_1\gamma_{2,3} + \beta_0\gamma_{1,2}\beta_3 + \gamma_{0,1}\beta_2\beta_3 + \gamma_{0,1}\gamma_{2,3})\, Y_1 \\ &+ \gamma_{-1,0}(\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3 + \beta_1\gamma_{2,3} + \gamma_{1,2}\beta_3)\, Y_0 \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

4 The second solution via the second-order differential equation

4.1 The extended cauchy-integral method

The close connection between differential equations and recurrence relations enables one to use solutions to differential equations to generate solutions to the corresponding recurrence relations. Functions of the hypergeometric type are of particular interest in that they obey a second order differential equation in the continuous independent variable, and a difference equation in any one of its parameters.

In the following sections we use the extended Cauchy-integral method not only to obtain a second solution to the differential equation but to provide as well a second solution to the difference equation obeyed by one of the parameters. The results obtained using the Cauchy-integral method are then also shown to follow from d'Alembert's reduction of order method.[2] We illustrate these methods by considering the differential equation for the confluent hypergeometric function:

$$xy'' + (b - x)y' - ay = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

[2]For a representation of hypergeometric second-kind solutions using a Rodrigues-type formula, see Area et al. [1].

in which $a = -N$, a non-positive integer, and $b = n + 1$, a positive integer. Although the analysis that follows requires $b = n + 1$, our original interest in this choice of parameters was the observation that the two standard solutions, ${}_1F_1(a; b; x)$ and $U(a, b, x)$, are no longer independent provided only that $a = -N$, in which case $U(-N, b, x) = (-1)^N (b)_N {}_1F_1(-N; b; x)$ (see DLMF [10, Eqs. 13.2.7, 13.2.10 and 13.2.34]).[3]

Equation (4.1) has a polynomial solution defined by the confluent hypergeometric function

$$\Phi(N, n, x) \equiv {}_1F_1(-N; n + 1; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-N)_k}{(n + 1)_k k!} x^k, \tag{4.2}$$

which constitutes a first solution of the differential equation in x and a first solution of the difference equation in the first parameter, N:

$$(N + n + 1)\Phi(N + 1, n, x) - (2N + n + 1 - x)\Phi(N, n, x) + N\Phi(N - 1, n, x) = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

We derive a polynomial solution to this equation that is linearly independent of the function $\Phi(N, n, x)$.

Following Nikiforov and Uvarov [9, §11, p. 97, Eq. (4)], a second linearly independent solution to Eq. (4.1) is given by the extended Cauchy integral:

$$\Psi(N, n, x) = \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(s)\Phi(N, n, s)}{s - x}\, ds \tag{4.4}$$

in which the weight function $\rho(x) = e^{-x}x^{b-1}$ is, for the differential equation (4.1), a solution of the equation $(x\rho(x))' = (b - x)\rho(x)$.

[3] We use the Pochhammer symbol defined by $(b)_N = \Gamma(b + N)/\Gamma(b)$.

We next show that $\Psi(N,n,x)$ as defined in (4.4) with $b = n+1$ obeys the same difference equation as $\Phi(N,n,x)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(N+n+1)\Psi(N+1,n,x) - (2N+n+1-x)\Psi(N,n,x) + N\Psi(N-1,n,x) \\
&= x^{-n}e^x \int_0^\infty ds \frac{e^s s^n}{s-x} \times \\
&\left[(N+n+1)\Phi(N+1,n,s) - (2N+n+1-x)\Phi(N,n,s) + N\Phi(N-1,n,s)\right] ds \\
&= x^{-n}e^x \int_0^\infty ds \frac{e^s s^n}{s-x} \times \\
&\Big[(N+n+1)\Phi(N+1,n,s) - (2N+n+1-s)\Phi(N,n,s) + N\Phi(N-1,n,s) \\
&\qquad\qquad -(s-x)\Phi(N,n,s)\Big] \\
&= -x^{-n}e^x \int_0^\infty e^{-s}s^n \Phi(N,n,s)\, ds
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}
$$

in view of (4.3). Substituting (4.2) in the last integral in (4.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty e^{-s}s^n\Phi(N,n,s)\, ds &= \int_0^\infty e^{-s}s^n \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(-N)_k s^k}{(n+1)_k k!} ds \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(-N)_k}{(n+1)_k k!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s}s^{n+k}\, ds \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(-N)_k \Gamma(n+k+1)}{(n+1)_k k!} \\
&= n! \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} \\
&= n!\,(1-1)^N = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}
$$

for $N = 1, 2, \ldots$. Thus, the function $\Psi(N,n,x)$ satisfies the difference equation (4.3), i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(N+n+1)\Psi(N+1,n,x) - (2N+n+1-x)\Psi(N,n,x) + N\Psi(N-1,n,x) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}
$$

Next we write (4.4) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(N,n,x) &= x^{-n}e^x \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s-x} \left[s^n\Phi(N,n,s) - x^n\Phi(N,n,x)\right] ds \\
&\quad + \Phi(N,n,x) \int_{-x}^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} ds\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}
$$

Inserting the polynomial expression (4.2) for Φ, the first of the two integrals in Eq. (4.8) is

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Psi}(N,n,x) &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(-N)_k}{(n+1)_k k!}\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{(s-x)}\left[s^{n+k}-x^{n+k}\right]ds \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(-N)_k}{(n+1)_k k!}\sum_{m=0}^{n+k-1}(n+k-1-m)!\,x^m \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

while in the last term of Eq. (4.8) the exponential-integral function

$$\mathrm{Ei}\,(x) = -\int_{-x}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-s}}{s}\,ds \tag{4.10}$$

appears. There results[4]

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(N,n,x) &= \overline{\Psi}(N,n,x)\frac{e^x}{x^n} - \Phi\,(N,n,x)\,\mathrm{Ei}(x) \\ &= \frac{n!}{(N+n)!}\,P(N,n,x)\frac{e^x}{x^n} - \Phi\,(N,n,x)\,\mathrm{Ei}(x)\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where the polynomial $P\,(N,n,x)$ is

$$P\,(N,n,x) = \frac{(N+n)!}{n!}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\sum_{m=0}^{n+k-1}\frac{(-N)_k(n+k-1-m)!}{(n+1)_k\,k!}x^m \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\overline{\Psi}(N,n,x) = \frac{n!}{(N+n)!}\,P(N,n,x)\;. \tag{4.13}$$

The normalization of the polynomial $P(N,n,x)$ has been chosen to make the coefficient of x^{N+n-1} be $(-1)^N$. It then turns out that all the coefficients of the powers of x are integers.

Since both $\Phi(N,n,x)$ and $\Psi(N,n,x)$ satisfy the difference equation (4.3), it follows that $\overline{\Psi}(N,n,x)$ also satisfies this equation, as factors independent of N, such as e^x and $\mathrm{Ei}(x)$ in Eq. (4.11), do not modify the difference equation. Moreover, we can show that $\overline{\Psi}(N,n,x)$ is linearly independent of $\Phi(N,n,x)$: Multiplying (4.3) by $\overline{\Psi}(N,n,x)$ and (4.7) written for $\overline{\Psi}$ by $\Phi(N,n,x)$ and subtracting, we have

$$(N+n+1)\mathcal{C}(N+1) = N\mathcal{C}(N) \tag{4.14}$$

[4]It is worth noting that an analogous result exists for the Legendre polynomials: $\mathrm{Q}_n(x) = -W_{n-1}(x) + \mathrm{P}_n(x)\ln\sqrt{(1+x)/(1-x)}$ in which W_{n-1} is a polynomial of order $n-1$, as shown in Erdélyi [5, §3.6.2, Eq.(26)], and reflecting the natural separation of second solutions to homogeneous second-order hypergeometric differential equations into a so-called "polynomial" part and a "logarithmic part".

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the Casoratian:

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \Phi(N,n,x)\overline{\Psi}(N-1,n,x) - \overline{\Psi}(N,n,x)\Phi(N-1,n,x)\,. \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.14)

$$\mathcal{C}(N+1) = \frac{N}{N+n+1}\mathcal{C}(N) \tag{4.16}$$

from which

$$\mathcal{C}(N+1) = \prod_{k=1}^{N}\Big(\frac{k}{k+n+1}\Big)\mathcal{C}(1)\,. \tag{4.17}$$

From (4.2) and (4.11)

$$\Phi(0,n,x) = 1 \tag{4.18}$$

$$\Phi(1,n,x) = 1 - \frac{x}{n+1} \tag{4.19}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}(0,n,x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(n-1-m)!\,x^m \tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Psi}(1,n,x) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s-x}\Big[s^n\Big(1-\frac{s}{n+1}\Big) - x^n\Big(1-\frac{x}{n+1}\Big)\Big] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(n-1-m)!\,x^m - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{m=0}^{n}(n-m)!\,x^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(n-1-m)!\,x^m - \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{m=-1}^{n-1}(n-1-m)!\,x^{m+1} \\ &= \Big[1-\frac{x}{n+1}\Big]\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(n-1-m)!\,x^m \;-\; \frac{n!}{n+1}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

We then have

$$\mathcal{C}(1) = \Phi(1,n,x)\overline{\Psi}(0,n,x) - \overline{\Psi}(1,n,x)\Phi(0,n,x) = \frac{n!}{n+1} \tag{4.22}$$

from which

$$\mathcal{C}(N+1) = \frac{N!(n!)^2}{(N+n+1)!}\,, \tag{4.23}$$

thus proving that the polynomial $\dfrac{n!}{(N+n)!}\,P(N,n,x)$ and $\Phi(N,n,x)$ are linearly independent solutions of the difference equation (4.3).

In order to find the coefficients of the powers of x in $P(N,n,x)$, we interchange the order of summations in (4.12):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N}\sum_{m=0}^{n+k-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{N} + \sum_{m=n}^{N+n-1}\sum_{k=m-n+1}^{N} \quad . \tag{4.24}$$

From the first double sum on the right-hand side we have, writing $(n+k-1-m)! = (n-m)_k(n-m-1)!$,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} x^m (n-m-1)! \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-N)_k (n-m)_k}{(n+1)_k k!} \tag{4.25}$$

in which we can use Gauss' formula ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;1) = \dfrac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}$ to write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-N)_k (n-m)_k}{(n+1)_k k!} = {}_2F_1(-N, n-m; n+1; 1) = \frac{n!(N+m)!}{(N+n)!m!} \; . \tag{4.26}$$

Thus the first double sum on the right-hand side of (4.24) gives

$$\frac{n!}{(N+n)!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{(N+m)!(n-m-1)!}{m!} x^m \; . \tag{4.27}$$

From (4.12) and (4.24) there results

$$\begin{aligned} & P\,(N,n,x) \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{(N+m)!\,(n-m-1)!}{m!} \right] x^m \\ & - x^n \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1} \frac{N!}{(N-k-m-1)!} \frac{(N+n)!}{(n+k+m+1)!} \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(k+m+1)!} \right] (-x)^m \; . \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

In Appendix A we show how to simplify the inner sum in the second term.

We give here a few explicit cases for the polynomial $P(N,n,x)$:

n	$N=0$
0	0
1	1
2	x+1
3	x^2+ x+2
4	x^3+ x^2+2 x+6
5	x^4+ x^3+2 x^2+6 x+24
6	x^5+ x^4+2 x^3+6 x^2+24 x+120

(4.29)

n	$N=1$
0	–1
1	$-x+1$
2	$-x^2+2\,x+1$
3	$-x^3+3\,x^2+2\,x+2$
4	$-x^4+4\,x^3+3\,x^2+4\,x+6$
5	$-x^5+5\,x^4+4\,x^3+6\,x^2+12\,x+24$
6	$-x^6+6\,x^5+5\,x^4+8\,x^3+18\,x^2+48\,x+120$

(4.30)

n	$N=2$
0	$x-3$
1	$x^2-5\,x+2$
2	$x^3-7\,x^2+6\,x+2$
3	$x^4-9\,x^3+12\,x^2+6\,x+4$
4	$x^5-11\,x^4+20\,x^3+12\,x^2+12\,x+12$
5	$x^6-13\,x^5+30\,x^4+20\,x^3+24\,x^2+36\,x+48$
6	$x^7-15\,x^6+42\,x^5+30\,x^4+40\,x^3+72\,x^2+144\,x+240$

(4.31)

n	$N=3$
0	$-x^2+8\,x-11$
1	$-x^3+11\,x^2-26\,x+6$
2	$-x^4+14\,x^3-47\,x^2+24\,x+6$
3	$-x^5+17\,x^4-74\,x^3+60\,x^2+24\,x+12$
4	$-x^6+20\,x^5-107\,x^4+120\,x^3+60\,x^2+48\,x+36$
5	$-x^7+23\,x^6-146\,x^5+210\,x^4+120\,x^3+120\,x^2+144\,x+144$
6	$-x^8+26\,x^7-191\,x^6+336\,x^5+210\,x^4+240\,x^3+360\,x^2+576\,x+720$

(4.32)

n	$N=4$
0	$x^3-15\,x^2+58\,x-50$
1	$x^4-19\,x^3+102\,x^2-154\,x+24$
2	$x^5-23\,x^4+158\,x^3-342\,x^2+120\,x+24$
3	$x^6-27\,x^5+226\,x^4-638\,x^3+360\,x^2+120\,x+48$
4	$x^7-31\,x^6+306\,x^5-1066\,x^4+840\,x^3+360\,x^2+240\,x+144$
5	$x^8-35\,x^7+398\,x^6-1650\,x^5+1680\,x^4+840\,x^3+720\,x^2+720\,x+576$
6	$x^9-39x^8+502x^7-2414x^6+3024x^5+1680x^4+1680x^3+2160x^2+2880x+2880$

(4.33)

The coefficients of x in the polynomial $P\,(N,n,x)$ up to the power x^{n-1} are all positive and contain relatively simple (factorial) factors, while those for powers x^n up to the highest power x^{N+n-1} have oscillating signs and some may have very high prime number factors, much larger than $N+n-1$, so that they will not reduce to simple

factorials. Rather, the coefficients for powers at and above x^n involve harmonic sums.[5] (See Appendix A.)

The function $\Phi(N, n, x)$ considered here is, apart from a normalization factor, the well-known associated Laguerre polynomial $L_N^{(n)}(x)$ (DLMF [10, Eq. 18.5.12]). We therefore define a suitably normalized associated Laguerre polynomial of the first kind with

$$\overline{L}_N^{(n)}(x) \equiv \frac{N!\,n!}{(N+n)!} L_N^{(n)}(x) = \Phi(N, n, x) \tag{4.34}$$

and an associated Laguerre function of the second kind with

$$\overline{\overline{L}}_N^{(n)}(x) \equiv \frac{n!}{(N+n)!}\,\frac{1}{x^n} P(N, n, x)\,. \tag{4.35}$$

Both of these Laguerre functions, $\overline{L}_N^{(n)}(x)$ and $\overline{\overline{L}}_N^{(n)}(x)$, satisfy recurrence relations as given by DLMF [10, Eqs. 13.3.1,13.3.2]:

$$(b-a)\,{}_1F_1(a-1; b; x) + (2a-b+x)\,{}_1F_1(a; b; x) - a\,{}_1F_1(a+1; b; x) = 0 \tag{4.36}$$

$$b(b-1)\,{}_1F_1(a; b-1; x) + b(1-b-x)\,{}_1F_1(a; b; x) + x(b-a)\,{}_1F_1(a; b+1; x) = 0 \tag{4.37}$$

where ${}_1F_1(a; b; x)$ is a confluent hypergeometric function with $a = -N$, $b = n+1$.

We have, for either $\overline{L}_N^{(n)}(x)$ or for $\overline{\overline{L}}_N^{(n)}(x)$,

$$(n+1+N)\,\overline{\overline{L}}_{N+1}^{(n)} - (2N+n+1-x)\,\overline{\overline{L}}_N^{(n)} + N\,\overline{\overline{L}}_{N-1}^{(n)} = 0 \tag{4.38}$$

as well as

$$n(n+1)\,\overline{\overline{L}}_N^{(n-1)} - (n+1)(n+x)\,\overline{\overline{L}}_N^{(n)} + x(n+1+N)\,\overline{\overline{L}}_N^{(n+1)} = 0\,. \tag{4.39}$$

4.2 D'Alembert's reduction of order method

We now use d'Alembert's reduction-of-order method to generate the second solution to the confluent hypergeometric recurrence relation found in the previous section using the extended Cauchy-integral method. We have, for any second-order homogeneous linear differential equation, taken in the form

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 \tag{4.40}$$

with a known solution

$$y_1 = \Phi(x)\,, \tag{4.41}$$

[5] These properties of the coefficients apply even more generally to the second solution polynomials allied with the full hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(-N, b; c; x)$, but we leave the explicit derivation of these polynomials to the especially engaged reader.

a second solution that can be found with the ansatz

$$y_2 = f(x)\Phi(x) \ . \tag{4.42}$$

Substituting, one finds

$$y_2 = \Phi \int \frac{1}{\Phi^2} \exp\left(-\int p\,dx\right) dx \ . \tag{4.43}$$

The Wronskian $W\left(y_1, y_2\right) \equiv y_1 {y'}_2 - y_2 {y'}_1 = \exp\left(-\int p\,dx\right)$, which implies that in the range of x for which $\int p\,dx$ is not infinite, the two solutions are independent.

The integral in Eq. (4.43) looks difficult in cases in which $\Phi(x)$ is not simple. However, this indefinite integral marvelously simplifies[6] when Φ is a solution to the second-order equation (4.40). In the case of rational integrands, perhaps with transcendental arguments and algebraic factors, algorithms for doing such indefinite integrals now exist.[7] Note, however, that the simplification that occurs in the integration specified in Eq. (4.43) is delicate, in that the integers that appear in the polynomials in Eq. (4.43) must be precisely those in the polynomial $\Phi(x)$. Slight deviations can cause an explosion of extra terms in the resultant integral.

If we apply Eq. (4.43) to our confluent hypergeometric differential equation (4.1) with $a = -N$ and $b = n + 1$, then we can take $y_1 = \Phi(N, n, x)$ as a polynomial first solution, and have

$$p\left(x\right) = \left(n + 1\right)/x - 1, \tag{4.44}$$

so that an independent second solution will be

$$y_2(x) = \Phi(x) \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{e^s}{\left[\Phi(s)\right]^2} \frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} \ . \tag{4.45}$$

Performing the integrations (described in Appendix B) we find that this second solution matches that found earlier (Eq. (4.11)):

$$\begin{aligned} & y_2(-N, n+1, x) \\ = \ & -\frac{(N+n)!}{N!(n!)^2} \left(\frac{n!}{(N+n)!} P(N, n, x) \frac{e^x}{x^n} - \Phi(N, n, x) \mathrm{Ei}(x) \right) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

[6] Although many such intriguing integrals can be generated, such as the Legendre case $Q_n(x) = P_n(x) \int^x dx' \left(1 - {x'}^2\right)^{-1} / \left[P_n(x')\right]^2$, only a few non-trivial examples appear in the commonly-used reference compilations. Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [7, Eq. 6.539.1], have $\int_a^b dx\, x^{-1} / \left[J_\nu(x)\right]^2 = (\pi/2)\left[N_\nu(b)/J_\nu(b) - N_\nu(a)/J_\nu(a)\right]$, an expression derived by E. von Lommel in 1871 and reproduced by Watson [13, § 5.11(3)]. Such relations are easily generated by integrating the identity $W(y_1, y_2)/y_1^2 \equiv (y_2/y_1)'$, where the Wronskian of the two independent solutions y_1 and y_2 is proportional to $\exp\left(-\int p\,dx\right)$.

[7] For a description of these methods, see Bronstein [3] and also Geddes et al. [6]. Many have been implemented in a variety of symbolic manipulation programs, notably *Mathematica* and *Maple*.

apart from the overall factor. One can verify this overall factor in the case of positive integer n by using

$$\lim_{x\to 0} x^n e^{-x}\Phi(x)\int_{-\infty}^{x}\frac{e^s}{[\Phi(s)]^2}\frac{ds}{s^{n+1}} = \int_{-\infty}^{1}\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} = -\frac{1}{n} \tag{4.47}$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to 0} x^n e^{-x}\Phi(N,n,x)\mathrm{Ei}(x) = \lim_{x\to 0} x^n \mathrm{Ei}(x) = 0\ , \tag{4.48}$$

to find from Eq. (4.46) that

$$P(N,n,0) = N!(n-1)!\ . \tag{4.49}$$

This agrees with the normalization of the $P(N,n,x)$ we selected that has the coefficient of the highest power of $P(N,n,x)$ to be $(-1)^N$.

5 Conclusion

As expected, systematic methods can be developed and applied for finding second solutions to linear second-order difference equations, analogous to those for differential equations. We have applied these methods to find a general solution to the confluent hypergeometric recurrence relations Eqs. (4.36, 4.37) in the degenerate case $a = -N,\ b = n+1$ ($N \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$). The second solution to these recurrence relations is proportional to $(n!/(N+n)!)x^{-n}P(N,n,x)$, where the polynomial $P(N,n,x)$ is given by Eq. (4.28). In particular, the second solution to just the recurrence relation of Eq. (4.38) is proportional to the polynomial $\overline{\Psi}(N,n,x) = (n!/(N+n)!)P(N,n,x)$.

Curiously, the closed-form second solution to the confluent hypergeometric differential equation in the degenerate case when the first parameter a in ${}_1F_1(a;b;x)$ takes the value of a non-positive integer and the second parameter b is an integer greater than zero is not yet found in standard references. For example, the DLMF gives instead an infinite Laurent power-series representation (see DLMF [10, Eq. 13.2.31]), a result which we reconstruct in Appendix C. In Appendix D, we show that the DLMF expression matches our closed-form solution Eq. (4.11).

Acknowledgements

Both authors gratefully acknowledge the support of The George Washington University through its Physics Department, and the second author thanks the Arizona State University Physics Department for the effortless accessibility of online materials.

A Re-summing in the confluent hypergeometric polynomial of the second kind

Our confluent hypergeometric second solution polynomial is given by Eq. 4.28 as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left(N,n,x\right)\\
&=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\frac{\left(N+m\right)!\left(n-m-1\right)!}{m!}x^{m}-x^{n}\times\\
&\sum_{m=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\left(-1\right)^{k}\frac{N!}{\left(N-k-m-1\right)!}\frac{\left(N+n\right)!}{\left(n+k+m+1\right)!}\frac{k!}{\left(k+m+1\right)!}\left(-x\right)^{m}\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{A.1}$$

The expression for the coefficients in the inner sum of the second term, which we write as

$$\begin{aligned}
&c\left(N,n,m\right)\\
&=\left(-1\right)^{m+1}\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\left(-1\right)^{k}\frac{N!}{\left(N-k-m-1\right)!}\frac{\left(N+n\right)!}{\left(n+k+m+1\right)!}\frac{k!}{\left(k+m+1\right)!}\\
&=\left(-1\right)^{m+1}\frac{N!}{\left(N-m-1\right)!}\frac{\left(n+N\right)!}{\left(m+n+1\right)!\left(m+1\right)!}\,{}_{3}F_{2}\left(1,1,-N+m+1;2+m,2+m+n;1\right)
\end{aligned}\tag{A.2}$$

can be simplified considerably.

First, we re-express the ${}_3F_2$ hypergeometric polynomial in terms of an integral over an ${}_2F_1$ hypergeometric function (DLMF [10, 16.5.2])

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{3}F_{2}\left(a_{1},a_{2},c;b,d;z\right)\\
=\ &\frac{\Gamma\left(d\right)}{\Gamma\left(c\right)\Gamma\left(d-c\right)}\int_{0}^{1}t^{c-1}\left(1-t\right)^{d-c-1}\,{}_{2}F_{1}\left(a_{1},a_{2},b,zt\right)dt\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{A.3}$$

In our case,

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{3}F_{2}\left(-N+m+1,1,1;m+n+2,m+2;1\right)\\
=\ &\left(m+1\right)\int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t\right)^{m}\,{}_{2}F_{1}\left(-N+m+1,1;m+n+2;t\right)dt\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{A.4}$$

In turn, the ${}_2F_1$ can be written as an integral (DLMF [10, 15.6.1]), giving

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_3F_2\left(-N+m+1,1,1;m+n+2,m+2;1\right) \\ = \;& (m+1)(m+n+1)\int_0^1 (1-t)^m \left(\int_0^1 (1-s)^{m+n}(1-st)^{N-m-1}\,ds\right) dt\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Now the trick for re-summing in our coefficients is to expand the integrand factor $(1-st)^{N-m-1}$ not in s, but in $1-s\equiv u$:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_3F_2\left(-N+m+1,1,1;m+n+2,m+2;1\right) \\ = \;& (m+1)(m+n+1)\int_0^1 (1-t)^m \left(\int_0^1 u^{m+n}(1-t+tu)^{N-m-1}\,du\right) dt \\ = \;& (m+1)(m+n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\left(\begin{array}{c} N-m-1 \\ k \end{array}\right)\int_0^1 (1-t)^{N-1-k}t^k\left(\int_0^1 u^{m+n}u^k du\right) dt \\ = \;& (m+1)(m+n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\left(\begin{array}{c} N-m-1 \\ k \end{array}\right)\frac{1}{m+n+k+1}\int_0^1 t^k(1-t)^{N-1-k}\,dt \\ = \;& (m+1)(m+n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\frac{(N-m-1)!}{(N-m-1-k)!k!}\frac{k!\,(N-k-1)!}{N!}\frac{1}{m+n+k+1} \\ = \;& \frac{(m+1)(m+n+1)}{N!}(N-m-1)!\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\frac{(N-k-1)!}{(N-m-1-k)!}\frac{1}{m+n+k+1} \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\int_0^1 t^a(1-t)^b\,dt = \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\,\Gamma(b+1)}{\Gamma(a+b+2)}. \tag{A.6}$$

We have arrived at an alternate and simpler expression for our coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} & c(N,n,m) \\ = \;& (-1)^{m+1}\frac{(n+N)!}{(n+m)!m!}\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\frac{(N-1-k)!}{(N-1-k-m)!}\frac{1}{n+m+k+1} \\ = \;& (-1)^{m+1}\frac{(n+N)!}{(n+m)!}\sum_{k=n+m+1}^{N+n}\left(\begin{array}{c} N+n+m-k \\ m \end{array}\right)\frac{1}{k} \\ = \;& (-1)^{m+1}\frac{(n+N)!}{(n+m)!m!}\sum_{k=n+m+1}^{N+n}(N+n+1-k)_m\frac{1}{k}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

As a check, note that if $m=N-1$ (corresponding to the highest power of x in our polynomial $P(N,m,x)$),

$$c(N,n,N-1) = (-1)^N\ .$$

The case $m = 0$ is particularly simple, and shows that harmonic numbers enter these coefficients.

$$c\,(N,n,0) = -\frac{(n+N)!}{n!}\sum_{k=n+1}^{N+n}\frac{1}{k}\,. \tag{A.8}$$

The case $m = 1$ leads to

$$c\,(N,n,1) = \frac{(n+N)!}{(n+1)!}\left((N+n+1)\sum_{k=n+2}^{N+n}\frac{1}{k} - (N-1)\right)\,. \tag{A.9}$$

The Pochhammer factor $(N+n+1-k)_m$ in Eq. (A.7) is a polynomial of degree m in the summation variable k. The contribution to $c(N,n,m)$ from this polynomial expanded in powers of k will be a harmonic sum from the k^0 term while the terms for powers of k from 1 to m will, after canceling the denominator k, lead to a polynomial in N and n.

B Handling integrals over inverse polynomials

The algorithms implemented in presently-available symbolic programs such as *Mathematica* and *Maple* can solve a variety of indefinite integrals over integrands containing ratios of polynomials, multiplied by algebraic and transcendental functions. These methods do not require knowledge of the roots of the denominator polynomial.[8] In our case, Eq. (4.45), we have the inverse of a polynomial squared, namely $[\Phi]^2$, together with a weight factor. Typically, the first step is to simplify the integrand by removing the double poles arising from the zeros of the polynomial in the denominator, making the polynomial 'square-free'. This can be done as follows. First, note that

$$\left(\frac{f}{\Phi}\right)' = \frac{f'}{\Phi} - \frac{f}{\Phi^2}\Phi'\,. \tag{B.1}$$

Here, primes denote a derivative. Now we use Bézout's identity ([4, A.2, p.231]) that for any two polynomials a and b, there exist polynomials s and t such that

$$sa + tb = gcd(a,b) \tag{B.2}$$

where $gcd(a,b)$ is the greatest common divisor of a and b, and the degree of the polynomial s is less than $deg(b) - deg(gcd(a,b))$ and the degree of t is less than $deg(a) - deg(gcd(a,b))$. There are simple methods going back to the Babylonians [8] that extract the greatest common divisors of a pair of integers, requiring only a sequence of subtractions. These methods extend to polynomials. Because Φ and Φ' are relatively prime, we have

$$s\Phi + t\Phi' = 1 \tag{B.3}$$

[8] Finding exact roots of arbitrary polynomials of degree higher than four would have been prohibitive.

where the degree of s is $N-2$, while the degree of t is $N-1$. As an identity in the independent variable of these polynomials, there will be $2N-1$ relations to solve for the $2N-1$ coefficients in the polynomials s and t. (Equation (B.3) is often expressed by a $(2N-1)\times(2N-1)$ 'Sylvester' matrix times a column vector formed from the coefficients in the polynomial s and t, equal to a column vector $(1,0,0,\cdots)^T$.) The relations are solvable for a given N by Gaussian reduction; with some effort, it is also possible to construct the polynomials s and t for arbitrary N.[9]

With Eq. (B.3), $\Phi^{'}$ can be replaced in Eq. (B.1) to obtain

$$\left(\frac{f}{\Phi}\right)' = \frac{f'}{\Phi} - \frac{f}{t\Phi^2} + \frac{sf}{t\Phi}\ . \tag{B.4}$$

If we take $f = tg$ and integrate, we will have the identity

$$\int \frac{g}{\Phi^2}dx = \int \frac{(tg)' + sg}{\Phi}dx - \frac{tg}{\Phi}\ . \tag{B.5}$$

Now for our integral, $g = \exp\left(-\int p\,dx\right)$, which satisfies $g' = -pg$, we have

$$\Phi\int \frac{g}{\Phi^2}dx = \Phi\int \frac{t' - pt + s}{\Phi}gdx - tg \tag{B.6}$$

in which $p = p(x)$ is given in (4.44).

The next step in the commonly-used symbolic programs is to first ensure, by repeated subtraction, that the numerator polynomial, which we call A, is of degree less than that of the square-free denominator polynomial, which we call B, and that A and B have no common polynomial divisors. Then the programs apply the Rothstein-Trager method and its improvements [3, 6] to express the integral as a particular sum over the roots $\{z_i\}$ of the resultant constructed from A and $(A - zB')$.

However, in our case, by employing the solutions given in footnote (9), one can deduce that

$$t' - pt + s = c\Phi/x \tag{B.7}$$

[9] The polynomials s and t, solutions to Eq. (B.3), are given by

$$s\,(N,n,x) = 1 - \frac{(N+n)!}{(N-1)!\,(n+1)!}$$
$$+\frac{(N+n)!}{(N-1)!n!}\sum_{p=1}^{N-2}\frac{(-N+1)_p}{(n+2)_p}\left(1 - {}_3F_2\left([-N+p+1,p,1],[n+2+p,-N+1],1\right)\right)\frac{x^p}{p\cdot p!}$$

$$t(N,n,x) = -\frac{(N+n)!}{N!n!}\sum_{p=0}^{N-1}\frac{(-N+1)_p}{(n+2)_p}\,{}_3F_2\left([-N+p+1,p,1],[n+2+p,-N+1],1\right)\frac{x^p}{p!}$$

where c is $(n+1)\left((n+N)!/(n!N!)\right)$. The denominator Φ in the integrand of Eq. (B.6) is canceled, leaving an exponential integral. One recognizes that this cancellation requires that the exact solution to $x\Phi'' + (n+1-x)\Phi' + N\Phi = 0$ appears in the integrand denominator. Any other polynomial, even if only slightly different from Φ, will integrate to a sum over all the zeros of the resultant described above.

C The series solution for the confluent hypergeometric function in the degenerate case

Because the derivation of the series representation of the second solution for the confluent hypergeometric function in the degenerate case is not easily found in standard references, we re-derive it here. Consider the Cauchy integral that produces the standard, regular, first solution to the confluent hypergeometric equation $zy'' + (b-z)\,y' - ay = 0$ when the poles of $\Gamma(-s)$ are surrounded:

$$\begin{aligned} I(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_C \frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(b+s)}(-z)^s\,ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_k}{(b)_k\,k!}z^k \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)}\,{}_1F_1(a;b;z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

(The Cauchy representation of the hypergeometric function was extensively studied in the early 1900's by Barnes [2].) We can verify that $I(z)$ is a solution with more general contours by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(z\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + (b-z)\frac{d}{dz} - a\right) I(z) \\ = &\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s+2)}{\Gamma(b+s)}(-z)^{s-1}\,ds + \oint_{C'} b\frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s+1)}{\Gamma(b+s)}(-z)^{s-1}\,ds \\ &-\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s+1)}{\Gamma(b+s)}(-z)^{s}\,ds - \oint_{C'} a\frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(b+s)}(-z)^{s}\,ds \\ = &\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma(a+s)}{\Gamma(b+s)}\left(\Gamma(-s+1)\left((s-1)+b\right)(-z)^{s-1} - \Gamma(-s)\,(s+a)\,(-z)^s\right)ds \\ = &\oint_{C'}\left(\frac{\Gamma(a+s)}{\Gamma(b+s-1)}\Gamma(-s+1)\,(-z)^{s-1} - \frac{\Gamma(a+s+1)}{\Gamma(b+s)}\Gamma(-s)\,(-z)^s\right)ds \\ = &\oint_{C'}\left(\frac{\Gamma(a+s+1)}{\Gamma(b+s)}\Gamma(-s)\,(-z)^s - \frac{\Gamma(a+s+1)}{\Gamma(b+s)}\Gamma(-s)\,(-z)^s\right)ds = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

In the last line, the contour in the first integral was shifted to the right by one, which will have little affect on the integral if the contour is over large s where the integrand is negligible (See Statler [12, §1.8.1]).

Now consider the integral solution with the contour surrounding all the poles of the integrand within a large circle. We are particularly interested in the degenerate case $a = -N, b = n + 1$ where N and n are nonnegative integers. Let

$$I_{C'}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C'} \frac{\Gamma(-N+s)\,\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(n+1+s)} (-z)^s \, ds \; .$$

The poles of the integrand in the complex s plane come from the numerator gamma factors. In the cases for which $s = -n-1, -n-2, \cdots$, the poles of the numerator are canceled by those in the denominator. There are three remaining cases for pole contributions: (1) The poles in the integrand from $s = -n$ to -1, which are of order one; (2) For s from zero to to N, the poles of the integrand are of second order due to the overlap of the poles of the two numerator gamma factors; (3) For s above N, the poles in the integrand are order one.

We will use the Cauchy residue theorem in the form

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint g(z)\, dz = \sum_k \lim_{z \to z_k} \frac{d^{p_k}}{dz^{p_k}} \left[(z - z_k)^{p_k+1} \, g(z) \right] \; , \tag{C.3}$$

where $g(z)$ is meromorphic within the contour, and has poles of order $p_k + 1$ when z approaches z_k.

In our case,

$$\begin{aligned} g(s) &= \frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(b+s)} (-z)^s \\ \text{with} &\qquad a = -N, \quad b = n+1 \; . \end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint g(s)\, ds = \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lim_{s \to -k} \left[(s+k)\, g(s) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{N} \lim_{s \to k} \frac{d}{ds} \left((s-k)^2 \, g(s) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \lim_{s \to k} \left[(s-k)\, g(s) \right] \; . \end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

To calculate the residues, we will be using the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) &= \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)} && \text{(C.6)}\\
\Gamma(1+z) &= z\Gamma(z) && \text{(C.7)}\\
\psi(z) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)}\frac{d}{ds}\Gamma(z) && \text{(C.8)}\\
\psi(z)-\psi(1-z) &= -\pi\cot(\pi z) && \text{(C.9)}\\
\psi(1+z) &= \psi(z)+\frac{1}{z} && \text{(C.10)}\\
\psi(1+M) &= \psi(1)+\sum_{k=1}^{M}\frac{1}{k} && \text{(C.11)}\\
\psi(1) &= -\gamma\,. && \text{(C.12)}
\end{aligned}$$

There follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(1+n+s) &= \psi(1+s)+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\frac{1}{l} && \text{(C.13)}\\
&\text{and}\\
\psi(1+N-s) &= \psi(1-s)+\sum_{l=1}^{N}\frac{1}{l}\,. && \text{(C.14)}
\end{aligned}$$

We will also employ

$$\cot(\pi(N-s)) = -\cot(\pi s)\ . \qquad \text{(C.15)}$$

The single-pole residues for $s=-k+\epsilon$ for small ϵ and $k=1,2,\cdots,n$ come from those in $\Gamma(a+s)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{s\to -k}\left((s+k)\,g(s)\right)\\
&=\lim_{s\to -k}\left((s+k)\,\frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(b+s)}\,(-z)^{s}\right)\\
&=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\frac{\Gamma(-N-k+\epsilon)\,\Gamma(k-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1+n-k)}\,(-z)^{-k}\\
&=(-1)^{N}\,\frac{(k-1)!}{(N+k)!\,(n-k)!}z^{-k}\,. && \text{(C.16)}
\end{aligned}$$

For the double-pole residues, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{ds}\left((s-k)^2 g(s)\right) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^s z^s \Gamma(-s)\Gamma(-N+s)}{\Gamma(n+1+s)} \\
&\times (s-k)^2 \left(+\psi(-N+s) - \psi(-s) - \psi(n+1+s) + \ln(-z) + 2\frac{1}{s-k}\right) \\
&= \frac{(-z)^s \Gamma(-s)\Gamma(-N+s)}{\Gamma(1+n+s)} (s-k)^2 \\
&\times \Big(\psi(1+N-s) - \psi(1+s) - \psi(1+n+s) + \ln(-z) - 2\pi\cot(\pi s) \\
&\qquad +2\frac{1}{s-k}\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

in which we have used

$$\psi(-s) = \psi(1+s) + \pi\cot(\pi s) \tag{C.18}$$

and

$$\psi(-N+s) = \psi(1+N-s) - \pi\cot(\pi s)\ . \tag{C.19}$$

Near the poles, $s = k+\epsilon$, $|\epsilon| << 1$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\pi\cot(\pi s) + 2\frac{1}{s-k} &= -2\pi\left(\cot(\pi\epsilon)\right) + 2\frac{1}{\epsilon} \\
&= -2\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) + 2\frac{1}{\epsilon} \\
&= 0\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.20}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{ds}\left((s-k)^2 g(s)\right) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^s z^s \Gamma(-s)\Gamma(-N+s)}{\Gamma(1+n+s)} (s-k)^2 \\
&\times \left(\psi(-N+s) - \psi(-s) - \psi(n+1+s) + \ln(-z) + 2\frac{1}{s-k}\right) \\
&= \frac{(-z)^s \Gamma(-s)\Gamma(-N+s)}{\Gamma(1+n+s)} (s-k)^2 \\
&\times \left(\psi(1+N-s) - \psi(1+s) - \psi(1+n+s) + \ln(-z)\right)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.21}$$

The overlapping pole singularities of the two gamma factors follow from

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(-s) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+s)}\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi s)} \\
&\approx (-1)^{k+1}\frac{1}{k!}\frac{1}{\epsilon} \qquad \text{(C.22)} \\
\Gamma(-N+s) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+N-s)}\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi(N-s))} \\
&\approx (-1)^{N-k}\frac{1}{(N-k)!}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\,. \qquad \text{(C.23)}
\end{aligned}$$

Overall, this gives residues, for the double poles, with for $k = 0, 1, \cdots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{s\to k}\frac{d}{ds}\left((s-k)^2 g(s)\right) \\
&= \frac{(-z)^k}{(n+k)!}\frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{k!\,(N-k)!}\left(\psi(1+N-k)-\psi(1+k)-\psi(1+n+k)+\ln|z|\right)\,.
\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(C.24)}$$

The single-pole residues for $s = k+\epsilon$ for small ϵ and $k = N+1, 2, \cdots, \infty$ come from the poles in $\Gamma(-s)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{s\to k}\left((s-k)\,g(s)\right) \\
&= \lim_{s\to k}\left((s-k)\frac{\Gamma(-N+s)\,\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(1+n+s)}(-z)^s\right) \\
&= \lim_{s\to k}\left((s-k)\frac{\Gamma(-N+s)}{\Gamma(1+n+s)}\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!\,(s-k)}(-z)^s\right) \\
&= -\frac{(k-N-1)!}{(n+k)!}\frac{1}{k!}z^k\,.
\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(C.25)}$$

As a result, the Cauchy integral satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma(a+s)\,\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(b+s)}(-z)^s\,ds \\
&\qquad=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lim_{s\to -k}\left[(s+k)\,g(s)\right]+\sum_{k=0}^{N}\lim_{s\to k}\frac{d}{ds}\left((s-k)^2\,g(s)\right) \\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\lim_{s\to k}\left[(s-k)\,g(s)\right] \\
&\qquad=(-1)^N\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(k-1)!}{(N+k)!\,(n-k)!}z^{-k} \\
&\qquad+(-1)^{N+1}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(-z)^k}{(n+k)!}\frac{1}{k!\,(N-k)!} \\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\psi(1+N-k)-\psi(1+k)-\psi(1+n+k)+\ln|z|\right) \\
&\qquad-\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}\frac{(k-N-1)!}{(n+k)!}\frac{1}{k!}z^k\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{C.26}$$

We now compare this series solution (C.26) with the expression in DLMF [10, 13.2.28] given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_{DL}\;(N,n,z) \\
&\qquad=\;\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{n!\,(k-1)!}{(n-k)!\,(1-a)_k}z^{-k} \\
&\qquad\quad-\sum_{k=0}^{-a}\frac{(a)_k}{(n+1)_k\,k!}z^k\left(\ln z+\psi(1-a-k)-\psi(1+k)-\psi(1+n+k)\right) \\
&\qquad\quad+(-1)^{1-a}\,(-a)!\sum_{k=1-a}^{\infty}\frac{(k-1+a)!}{(n+1)_k\,k!}z^k\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{C.27}$$

which is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_{DL}(N,n,z) \\
&\qquad=\;\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{n!\,(k-1)!}{(n-k)!\,(1+N)_k}z^{-k} \\
&\qquad\quad-\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(-N)_k}{(n+1)_k\,k!}z^k\left(\ln z+\psi(1+N-k)-\psi(1+k)-\psi(1+n+k)\right) \\
&\qquad\quad+(-1)^{1+N}\,N!\sum_{k=1-a}^{\infty}\frac{(k-N-1)!}{(n+1)_k\,k!}z^k
\end{aligned}\tag{C.28}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_{DL}(N,n,z)\\
&\quad = N!n!\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(k-1)!}{(n-k)!\,(N+k)!}z^{-k}\\
&\qquad -N!n!\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(-1)^k}{(N-k)!\,(n+k)!k!}z^{k}\\
&\qquad\quad \times\left(\ln z+\psi\left(1+N-k\right)-\psi\left(1+k\right)-\psi\left(1+n+k\right)\right)\\
&\qquad +(-1)^{1+N}N!n!\sum_{k=1-a}^{\infty}\frac{(k-N-1)!}{(n+k)!k!}z^{k}\,. \qquad \text{(C.29)}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the DLMF series with our contour integral result (C.26), we have

$$\Psi_{DL}\left(N,n,z\right)=(-1)^{N}N!n!\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma\left(a+s\right)\Gamma\left(-s\right)}{\Gamma\left(b+s\right)}\left(-z\right)^{s}ds \qquad \text{(C.30)}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{DL}\left(N,n,z\right) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(1+n\right)}{\epsilon\Gamma\left(-N+\epsilon\right)}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma\left(a+s\right)\Gamma\left(-s\right)}{\Gamma\left(b+s\right)}\left(-z\right)^{s}ds\\
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(b\right)}{\epsilon\Gamma\left(a+\epsilon\right)}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma\left(a+\epsilon+s\right)\Gamma\left(-s\right)}{\Gamma\left(b+s\right)}\left(-z\right)^{s}ds\\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'}\frac{\Gamma\left(a+s\right)}{\Gamma\left(a\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(b\right)}{\Gamma\left(b+s\right)}\Gamma\left(-s\right)\left(-z\right)^{s}ds\\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'}\frac{\left(a\right)_s}{\left(b\right)_s}\Gamma\left(-s\right)\left(-z\right)^{s}ds\,.
\end{aligned} \qquad \text{(C.31)}$$

wherein $a=-N+\epsilon$.

The above constitutes a derivation and verification of the DLMF series solution given in [10, 13.2.28].

D Comparison of our closed-form solution with the the series solution

Our closed-form second solution (4.11), for $a=-N,\ \ b=n+1$, is

$$\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)=\frac{n!}{(N+n)!}\,P(N,n,x)\frac{e^{x}}{x^{n}}+\Phi\left(N,n,x\right)\int_{-x}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-s}}{s}\,ds\,. \qquad \text{(D.1)}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P\left(N,n,x\right)\\
&=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\frac{\left(N+m\right)!\left(n-m-1\right)!}{m!}x^{m}\\
&\quad-x^{n}\sum_{m=0}^{N-1}\sum_{k=0}^{N-m-1}\frac{N!}{\left(N-k-m-1\right)!}\frac{\left(N+n\right)!}{\left(n+k+m+1\right)!}\frac{\left(-1\right)^{k}k!}{\left(k+m+1\right)!}\left(-x\right)^{m}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.2}
$$

and

$$
\Phi(N,n,x)=\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{N!n!}{(N-k)!(n+k)!k!}\left(-x\right)^{k}\ , \tag{D.3}
$$

which is the first (regular) solution ${}_1F_1(-N;n+1;x)$.

One can see that $\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)$ coincides with $\Psi_{DL}\left(N,n,x\right)$ as follows. If one expands $\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)$ in a Laurent power series (with possible logarithmic terms), the only terms that have negative powers in x come from

$$
\frac{n!}{\left(N+n\right)!}\frac{e^{x}}{x^{n}}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\frac{\left(N+m\right)!\left(n-m-1\right)!}{m!}x^{m}
$$

Expanding, but keeping only powers up to x^{n-1}, we will have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{n!}{\left(N+n\right)!}e^{x}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{\left(N+m\right)!\left(n-m-1\right)!}{m!}\right]x^{m}\\
&=\frac{n!}{\left(N+n\right)!}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-m}\frac{\left(N+m\right)!\left(n-m-1\right)!}{m!k!}x^{m+k}\\
&=\frac{n!}{\left(N+n\right)!}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-m}\frac{\left(N+m\right)!\left(n-m-1\right)!}{m!k!}x^{m+k}\\
&=\frac{n!}{\left(N+n\right)!}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l}\frac{\left(N+l-k\right)!\left(n-1-l+k\right)!}{\left(l-k\right)!k!}\right)x^{l}\\
&=\frac{n!}{\left(N+n\right)!}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left(N+l\right)!\left(n-l-1\right)!}{l!}\sum_{k=0}^{l}\frac{\left(-l\right)_{k}\left(n-l\right)_{k}}{\left(-N-l\right)_{k}k!}\right)x^{l}\\
&=\frac{n!}{\left(N+n\right)!}\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{N!\left(N+n\right)!\left(n-1-l\right)!}{\left(N+n-l\right)!l!}\right)x^{l}\\
&=\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\frac{n!N!\left(n-1-l\right)!}{\left(N+n-l\right)!l!}x^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the Gauss identity: ${}_2F_1\left(-l,b;c;1\right)=\left(c-b\right)_l/\left(c\right)_l$. Comparing to the DLMF first term (times x^n):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{n!(k-1)!}{(n-k)!(1+N)_k}x^{n-k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{N!n!(k-1)!}{(n-k)!(N+k)!}x^{n-k}=\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\frac{N!n!(n-l-1)!}{l!(N+n-l)!}x^l\,,$$

we find they agree in sign and magnitude.

The logarithmic terms in $\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)$ come from

$$\Phi\left(N,n,x\right)\int_{-x}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-s}}{s}\,ds\,.$$

Using the expansion

$$\int_{-x}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-s}}{s}\,ds=Ei\left(1,-x\right)=-\ln x-\gamma-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k\,k!}x^k$$

and comparing with the DLMF logarithmic term (see (C.28))

$$-\Phi\left(N,n,z\right)\left(\ln z\right)\ ,$$

we see that the log term in $\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)$ matches that in $\Psi_{DL}(N,n,x)$. Moreover, the γ term, coming from the ψ terms in $\Psi_{DL}(N,n,x)$, also matches.

As $\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)$ and $\Psi_{DL}(N,n,x)$ are both solutions to the confluent hypergeometric equation, they can only differ by another independent solution, i.e.

$$\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)=c_1\Psi_{DL}(N,n,x)+c_2\Phi\left(N,n,x\right) \tag{D.4}$$

By finding that $\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)$ matches $\Psi_{LM}(N,n,x)$ over a range of x, we have $c_1=1$ and $c_2=0$, establishing that

$$\Psi_{PM}(N,n,x)=\Psi_{DL}(N,n,x)\,. \tag{D.5}$$

References

[1] I. Area, E. Godoy, A. Ronveaux, and A. Zarzo. Hypergeometric-type differential equations: second kind solutions and related integrals. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 157(1):93–106, 2003.

[2] E.W. Barnes. A new development of the theory of the hypergeometric functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, pages 141–177, 1908.

[3] Manuel Bronstein. *Symbolic Integration I: Transcendental Functions*. Springer, Berlin, second edition, 2004.

[4] J. H. Davenport, Y. Siret, and E. Tournier. *Computer Algebra, Systems and Algorithms for Algebraic Computation*. Academic Press, New York, second edition, 1993.

[5] Arthur Erdélyi, Wilhelm Magnus, Fritz Oberhettinger, and Francesco G. Tricomi. *Higher transcendental functions. Vols. I, II*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.

[6] K.O. Geddes, S.R. Czapor, and G. Labahn. *Algorithms for Computer Algebra*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1992.

[7] I.S. Gradshteyn and M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, New York, fifth edition, 2000.

[8] Donald E. Knuth. Ancient babylonian algorithms. *Comm. ACM*, 15(7):671–677, July 1972.

[9] Arnold F. Nikiforov and Vasilii B. Uvarov. *Special functions of mathematical physics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1988. A unified introduction with applications, Translated from the Russian and with a preface by Ralph P. Boas, With a foreword by A. A. Samarskiĭ.

[10] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. http://dlmf.nist.gov/, Release 1.0.10 of 2015-08-07. Online companion to [11].

[11] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, editors. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2010. Print companion to [10].

[12] Lucy Joan Slater. *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*. Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1966.

[13] G.N. Watson. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1922.

[14] C. Weixlbaumer. *Solutions of Difference Equations with Polynomial Coefficients*. Diplomarbeit, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation, (RISC), Johannes Kepler Universität, Linz, Austria, 2001.